



**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION				
COMPONENT	INEFFECTIVE	DEVELOPING	EFFECTIVE	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy	The teacher's plans and practice display little knowledge of the content, prerequisite relationships between different aspects of the content, or the instructional practices specific to that discipline.	The teacher's plans and practice reflect some awareness of the important concepts in the discipline, prerequisite relationships between them, and the instructional practices specific to that discipline.	The teacher's plans and practice reflect solid knowledge of the content, prerequisite relationships between important concepts, and the instructional practices specific to that discipline.	The teacher's plans and practice reflect extensive knowledge of the content and the structure of the discipline. The teacher actively builds on knowledge of prerequisites and misconceptions when describing instruction or seeking causes for student misunderstanding.
1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students	The teacher demonstrates little or no knowledge of students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs, and does not seek such understanding.	The teacher indicates the importance of understanding students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs, and attains this knowledge for the class as a whole.	The teacher actively seeks knowledge of students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs, and attains this knowledge for groups of students.	The teacher actively seeks knowledge of students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs from a variety of sources, and attains this knowledge for individual students.
1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes	Instructional outcomes are unsuitable for students, represent trivial or low-level learning, or are stated only as activities. They do not permit viable methods of assessment.	Instructional outcomes are of moderate rigor and are suitable for some students, but consist of a combination of activities and goals, some of which permit viable methods of assessment. They reflect more than one type of learning, but the teacher makes no attempt at coordination or integration.	Instructional outcomes are stated as goals reflecting high-level learning and curriculum standards. They are suitable for most students in the class, represent different types of learning, and can be assessed. The outcomes reflect opportunities for coordination.	Instructional outcomes are stated as goals that can be assessed, reflecting rigorous learning and curriculum standards. They represent different types of content, offer opportunities for both coordination and integration, and take account of the needs of individual students.
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources	The teacher demonstrates little or no familiarity with resources to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, or for students who need them. The teacher does not seek such knowledge.	The teacher demonstrates some familiarity with resources available through the school or district to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, or for students who need them. The teacher does not seek to extend such knowledge.	The teacher is fully aware of the resources available through the school or district to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, or for students who need them.	The teacher seeks out resources in and beyond the school or district in professional organizations, on the Internet, and in the community to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, and for students who need them.
1e: Designing Coherent Instruction	The series of learning experiences is poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes and does not represent a coherent structure. The experiences are suitable for only some students.	The series of learning experiences demonstrates partial alignment with instructional outcomes, and some of the experiences are likely to engage students in significant learning. The lesson or unit has a recognizable structure and reflects partial knowledge of students and resources.	The teacher coordinates knowledge of content, of students, and of resources to design a series of learning experiences aligned to instructional outcomes and suitable for groups of students. The lesson or unit has a clear structure and is likely to engage students in significant learning.	The teacher coordinates knowledge of content, of students, and of resources, to design a series of learning experiences aligned to instructional outcomes, differentiated where appropriate to make them suitable to all students and likely to engage them in significant learning. The lesson or unit structure is clear and allows for different pathways according to student needs.

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

If: Designing Student Assessments	The teacher's plan for assessing student learning contains no clear criteria or standards, is poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes, or is inappropriate for many students. The results of assessment have minimal impact on the design of future instruction.	The teacher's plan for student assessment is partially aligned with the instructional outcomes, without clear criteria, and inappropriate for at least some students. The teacher intends to use assessment results to plan for future instruction for the class as a whole.	The teacher's plan for student assessment is aligned with the instructional outcomes, uses clear criteria, and is appropriate to the needs of students. The teacher intends to use assessment results to plan for future instruction for groups of students.	The teacher's plan for student assessment is fully aligned with the instructional outcomes, with clear criteria and standards that show evidence of student contribution to their development. Assessment methodologies may have been adapted for individuals, and the teacher intends to use assessment results to plan future instruction for individual students.
--	---	--	--	--

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

DOMAIN 2: The Classroom Environment

COMPONENT	INEFFECTIVE	DEVELOPING	EFFECTIVE	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport	Patterns of classroom interactions, both between the teacher and students and among students, are negative, inappropriate, or insensitive to students' ages, cultural backgrounds, and developmental levels. Interactions are characterized by sarcasm, put-downs, or conflict. Teacher does not respond to disrespectful behavior.	Patterns of classroom interactions, both between the teacher and students and among students, are generally appropriate but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, and disregard for students' ages, cultures, and developmental levels. Students rarely demonstrate respect for one another. Teacher attempts to respond to disrespectful behavior, with uneven results.	Teacher-student interactions are friendly and demonstrate general caring and respect. Such interactions are appropriate to the ages, developmental levels, and cultures of the students. Students exhibit respect for the teacher. Interactions among students are generally polite.	Classroom interactions between the teacher and individual students are highly respectful, reflecting genuine warmth and caring and sensitivity to students' cultures and levels of development. Students themselves ensure high levels of civility among members of the class.
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning	The classroom culture is characterized by a lack of the teacher or student commitment to the learning and/or little or no investment of student energy into the task at hand. Learning is not expected or valued.	The classroom culture is characterized by little commitment to the learning by the teacher or student. Student engagement in the task at hand is inconsistent. The teacher appear to be only "going through the motions, and students indicate that they are interested in completion of a task, rather than quality."	The classroom culture is a cognitively busy place where learning is valued by all. Students understand their role as learner and consistently expend effort to learn by engaging in the task at hand. Instructional outcomes, activities, and assignments convey high expectations for most students. Classroom interactions support learning.	The classroom culture is characterized by a shared belief in the importance of the learning. Instructional outcomes, activities, and assignments convey high expectations for all students. Classroom interactions may extend learning. Students assume responsibility for high quality work by initiating improvements, making revisions, adding detail, and/or helping peers. High expectations are internalized by students.
2c: Managing Classroom Procedures	Much instructional time is lost due to inefficient classroom routines and procedures. There is little or no evidence of the teacher managing instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies. There is little evidence that students know or follow established routines.	Some instructional time is lost due to only partially effective classroom routines and procedures. The teacher's management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies is inconsistent, leading to disruption of the learning. With regular guidance and prompting, students follow established routines.	There is little loss of instructional time due to effective classroom routines and procedures. The teacher's management of instructional groups and/or the handling of materials and supplies is consistent. With minimal guidance and prompting, students follow established classroom routines.	Instructional time is maximized due to efficient classroom routines and procedures. Students contribute to the management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies. Routines are well understood and engaged in consistently by students.

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

2d: Managing Student Behavior	There is little or no teacher monitoring of student behavior. Response to students' misbehavior is repressive or disrespectful of student dignity. Students challenge the standards of conduct.	Teacher tries, with uneven results, to monitor student behavior and respond to student misbehavior. There is inconsistent implementation of the standards of conduct.	Student behavior is generally appropriate. The teacher monitors student behavior against standards of conduct. Teacher response to student misbehavior is consistent, appropriate, and respectful to students.	Student behavior is entirely appropriate. Students take an active role in monitoring their own behavior and that of other students against standards of conduct. The teacher's monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventive. The teacher's response to student misbehavior is sensitive to individual student needs.
2e: Organizing Physical Space	The physical environment is unsafe or some students don't have access to learning. There is poor alignment between the arrangement of furniture and resources, including computer technology, and the lesson activities.	The classroom is safe, and essential learning is accessible to most students; the teacher's use of physical resources, including computer technology, is moderately effective. The teacher may attempt to modify the physical arrangement to suit learning activities, with partial success.	The classroom is safe, and learning is accessible to all students; the teacher ensures that the physical arrangement is appropriate to the learning activities. Teacher makes effective use of physical resources, including computer technology.	The classroom is safe, and learning is accessible to all students including those with special needs. The teacher makes effective use of physical resources, including computer technology. The teacher ensures that the physical arrangement is appropriate to the learning activities. Students contribute to the use or adaptation of the physical environment to advance learning.

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

Domain 3: Instruction				
COMPONENT	INEFFECTIVE	DEVELOPING	EFFECTIVE	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE
3a: Communicating with Students	The instructional purpose of the lesson is unclear to students and the directions and procedures are confusing. The teacher's explanation of the content contains major errors. The teacher's spoken or written language contains errors of grammar or syntax. Vocabulary is inappropriate, vague, or used incorrectly, leaving students confused.	Teacher's attempt to explain the instructional purpose has only limited success, and/or directions and procedures must be clarified after initial student confusion. Teacher's explanation of the content may contain minor errors. Some portions are clear; other portions are difficult to follow. Teacher's spoken language is correct; however, vocabulary is limited or not appropriate to the students' ages or backgrounds.	The instructional purpose of the lesson is clearly communicated to students, including where it is situated within broader learning; directions and procedures are explained clearly. Teacher's explanation of content is clear and accurate and connects with students' knowledge and experience. Teacher's spoken and written language is clear and correct. Vocabulary is appropriate to the students' ages and interests.	The teacher links the instructional purpose of the lesson to student interests; the directions and procedures are clear and anticipate possible student misunderstanding. Teacher's explanation of content is thorough and clear, developing conceptual understandings. Students contribute to explaining concepts to their classmates. The teacher's spoken and written language is expressive, and the teacher finds opportunities to extend students' vocabularies
3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques	The teacher's questions/prompts are poorly aligned with lesson outcomes, with low cognitive challenge, single correct responses, and asked in rapid succession. Interaction between teacher and students is predominantly recitation style, with the teacher mediating all questions and answers. A few students dominate the discussion.	The teacher's questions/prompts are a combination of low and high quality, some related to the lesson objectives and of moderate cognitive challenge inviting a thoughtful response. The teacher attempts to engage all students in the discussion and to encourage them to respond to one another, with uneven results.	Most of the teacher's questions/prompts are of high quality and support the lesson objectives, with adequate time for students to respond. A variety or series of questions/prompts are used to challenge students cognitively, and advance high-level thinking and discourse. The teacher creates a genuine discussion among students, stepping aside when appropriate. The teacher successfully engages all students in the discussion, employing a range of strategies to ensure that all students are heard.	The teacher's questions/prompts are of uniformly high quality and fully support the lesson outcomes, with adequate time for students to respond. A variety or series of questions/prompts are used to challenge students cognitively, advance high level thinking and discourse, and promote meta-cognition. Students formulate many questions, initiate topics, and make unsolicited contributions. Students themselves ensure that all voices are heard in the discussion.

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

<p>3c: Engaging Students in Learning</p>	<p>The learning activities, materials, resources, instructional groups, and technology are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes, are unsuitable to the students' developmental stage, or do not require students to think. The lesson has no clearly defined structure, or the pace of the lesson is too slow or rushed. Few students are intellectually engaged.</p>	<p>The various elements of the lesson are partially aligned with the instructional outcomes, with minimal consideration of the students' development stage. Instruction does not facilitate students constructing knowledge, allowing some students to be passive or compliant. Learning activities, materials, resources, technology, and instructional grouping only partially engage students with the content. The lesson has a recognized structure; however the pacing of the lesson may not provide students the time needed to intellectually engage with their learning.</p>	<p>The various elements of the lesson are well-aligned with the instructional outcomes, are suitable to the students' development, and facilitate students in constructing knowledge. Learning activities, materials, resources, technology, and instructional grouping are complementary, resulting in active intellectual engagement by groups of students with important and challenging content. The lesson has a clearly defined structure, and the pacing of the lesson is appropriate, providing most students the time needed to intellectually engage with their learning.</p>	<p>The various elements of the lesson are well-aligned with the instructional outcomes and individual needs of the learners. The lesson is entirely suitable to the students' development, and facilitates all students in constructing knowledge. Learning activities, materials, resources, technology, and instructional grouping are complementary, resulting in active intellectual engagement by each student in important and challenging content. The lesson has a clearly defined structure, and the pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to intellectually engage with and reflect upon their learning, and to consolidate their understanding. Students have choice in how they complete tasks and may serve as resources for one another.</p>
<p>3d: Using Assessment in Instruction</p>	<p>Assessment or monitoring of student learning is absent or minimal. Feedback is absent or of poor quality. There is no attempt to adjust the lesson as a result of assessment. Students are not aware of the assessment criteria and do not engage in self-assessment.</p>	<p>Assessment is occasionally used to support instruction, through some monitoring of progress of learning by teacher and/or students. Feedback to students is inaccurate or unspecific, and students are only partially aware of the assessment criteria used to evaluate their work. Questions/ Prompts/Assessments are not used to diagnose evidence of learning.</p>	<p>Assessment is regularly used during instruction, through monitoring of progress of learning by teacher and/or students, resulting in accurate, specific feedback that advances learning. Students are aware of the assessment criteria. Questions/Prompts/ Assessments are used to diagnose evidence of learning, and adjustment to instruction is made to address student misunderstandings.</p>	<p>Assessment is fully integrated into the instruction, through student involvement in establishing the assessment criteria. Students self-assess and monitor their progress. A variety of feedback, from both the teacher and peers, is accurate, specific, and advances learning. Students are aware of and may contribute to the assessment criteria. Questions/Prompts/ Assessments are used regularly to diagnose evidence of learning, and instruction is adjusted and differentiated to address individual student misunderstandings.</p>

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness	The teacher adheres to the instruction plan, even when a change would improve the lesson or address students' lack of interest. The teacher brushes aside student questions; when students experience difficulty, the teacher blames the students or their home environment.	The teacher attempts to modify the lesson when needed and to respond to student questions and interests, with moderate success. The teacher accepts responsibility for student success, but has only a limited repertoire of strategies to draw upon.	The teacher promotes the successful learning of all students, making minor adjustments as needed to instruction plans and accommodating student questions, needs, and interests. The teacher persists in seeking approaches for students who have difficulty learning, drawing on a broad repertoire of strategies.	The teacher seizes an opportunity to enhance learning, building on a spontaneous event or student interests, or successfully makes a major adjustment to a lesson when needed. Teacher persists in seeking effective approaches for students who need help, using an extensive repertoire of instructional strategies and soliciting additional resources from the school or community.
---	--	---	---	---

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

COMPONENT	INEFFECTIVE	DEVELOPING	EFFECTIVE	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE
4a: Reflecting on Teaching	The teacher does not accurately assess the effectiveness of the lesson and has no ideas about how the lesson could be improved.	The teacher provides a partially accurate and objective description of the lesson but does not cite specific evidence. The teacher makes only general suggestions as to how the lesson might be improved.	The teacher provides an accurate and objective description of the lesson, citing specific evidence. The teacher makes some specific suggestions as to how the lesson might be improved.	The teacher's reflection on the lesson is thoughtful and accurate, citing specific evidence. The teacher draws on an extensive repertoire to suggest alternative strategies and predicts the likely success of each.
4b: Maintaining Accurate Records	The teacher's systems for maintaining both instructional and non-instructional records are either nonexistent or in disarray, resulting in errors and confusion.	The teacher's systems for maintaining both instructional and non-instructional records are rudimentary and only partially effective.	The teacher's systems for maintaining both instructional and non-instructional records are accurate, efficient, and effective.	The teacher's systems for maintaining both instructional and non-instructional records are accurate, efficient, and effective, and students contribute to its maintenance.
4c: Communicating with Families	The teacher's communication with families about the instructional program or about individual students is sporadic or culturally inappropriate. The teacher makes no attempt to engage families in the instructional program.	The teacher adheres to school procedures for communicating with families and makes modest attempts to engage families in the instructional program. But communications are not always appropriate to the cultures of those families.	The teacher communicates frequently with families and successfully engages them in the instructional program. Information to families about individual students is conveyed in a culturally appropriate manner.	The teacher's communication with families is frequent and sensitive to cultural traditions; students participate in the communication. The teacher successfully engages families in the instructional program, as appropriate.
4d: Participating in a Professional Community	The teacher avoids participating in a professional community or in school and district events and projects; relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving.	The teacher becomes involved in the professional community and in school and district events and projects when specifically asked; relationships with colleagues are cordial.	The teacher participates actively in the professional community and in school and district events and projects, and maintains positive and productive relationships with colleagues.	The teacher makes a substantial contribution to the professional community and to school and district events and projects, and assumes a leadership role among the faculty.
4e: Growing and Developing Professionally	The teacher does not participate in professional development activities and makes no effort to share knowledge with colleagues. The teacher is resistant to feedback from supervisors or colleagues.	The teacher participates in professional development activities that are convenient or are required, and makes limited contributions to the profession. The teacher accepts, with some reluctance, feedback from supervisors and colleagues.	The teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development based on an individual assessment of need and actively shares expertise with others. The teacher welcomes feedback from supervisors and colleagues.	The teacher actively pursues professional development opportunities and initiates activities to contribute to the profession. In addition, the teacher seeks feedback from supervisors and colleagues.

**Hamburg Central School District
Charlotte Danielson 2007 Framework**

4f: Showing Professionalism	The teacher has little sense of ethics and professionalism and contributes to practices that are self-serving or harmful to students. The teacher fails to comply with school and district regulations and time lines.	The teacher is honest and well intentioned in serving students and contributing to decisions in the school, but the teacher's attempts to serve students are limited. The teacher complies minimally with school and district regulations, doing just enough to get by.	The teacher displays a high level of ethics and professionalism in dealings with both students and colleagues and complies fully and voluntarily with school and district regulations.	The teacher is proactive and assumes a leadership role in making sure that school practices and procedures ensure that all students, particularly those traditionally underserved, are honored in the school. The teacher displays the highest standards of ethical conduct and takes a leadership role in seeing that colleagues comply with school and district.
------------------------------------	--	---	--	--